BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY,		
Petitioner,)	
v. ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, Respondent.))))))	PCB 04-215 (Trade Secret Appeal)
MIDWEST GENERATION EME, LLC)	
Petitioner,)	
v.)	PCB 04-216 (Trade Secret Appeal)
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,)	(Trade Secret Appeal)
ŕ)	
Respondent.)	

NOTICE OF FILING

TO: See Attached Service List

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the 13TH day of May, 2011, I filed with the Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board Respondent's Motion to Clarify, Reconsider, and/or Modify the Board's April 7, 2011 Order, copies of which are attached hereto and are hereby served upon you.

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

BY:

STEPHEN J. SYLVESTER Assistant Attorney General

Environmental Bureau

69 W. Washington St., Suite 1800

Chicago, Illinois 60602

(312) 814-2087

THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

SERVICE LIST

HEARING OFFICER

Bradley Halloran Chief Hearing Officer Illinois Pollution Control Board 100 West Randolph Street, 11th Floor Chicago, IL 60601

FOR MIDWEST GENERATION EME, LLC

SCHIFF HARDIN LLP Mary Ann Mullin Bina Joshi 6600 Willis Tower 233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

FOR COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP Byron F. Taylor Margaret Sobota One South Dearborn Suite 900 Chicago, IL 60603

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office, May 13, 2011

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, STEPHEN J. SYLVESTER, an Assistant Attorney General in this case, do certify that I caused to be served this 13th day of May, 2011, the foregoing Respondent's Motion to Clarify, Reconsider, and/or Modify the Board's April 7, 2011 Order and Notice of Filing upon the persons listed on the Service List by electronic mail and by depositing same in an envelope, first class postage prepaid, with the United States Postal Service at 100 West Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois, at or before the hour of 5:00 p.m.

STEPHEN J. SYLVESTER

Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau 69 W. Washington St., Suite 1800 Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 814-2087 ssylvester@atg.state.il.us

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
·)	
v. ·)	PCB 04-215
)	(Trade Secret Appeal)
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL)	
PROTECTION AGENCY,)	
)	•
Respondent.)	
MIDWEST GENERATION EME, LLC)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	PCB 04-216
)	(Trade Secret Appeal)
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL)	
PROTECTION AGENCY,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO CLARIFY, RECONSIDER AND/OR MODIFY THE BOARD'S APRIL 7, 2011 ORDER

Pursuant to the April 7, 2011 Pollution Control Board ("Board") Order and Section 101.520 of the Board Procedural Regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code101.520, Respondent, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("IEPA"), submits this motion to clarify, reconsider, and/or modify the Board's April 7, 2011 Order. In support thereof the IEPA states as follows:

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

On December 15, 2010, Petitioners Midwest Generation EME, LLC ("Midwest Gen") and Commonwealth Edison Company ("ComEd") jointly filed their Motion to Vacate IEPA's

Trade Secret Determination and to Dismiss the Petition for Review as Moot ("Motion"). With the hearing officer's leave, the IEPA filed its response on January 14, 2011, and Midwest Gen and ComEd filed a reply on January 28, 2011. On April 7, 2011, the Board issued an Order ("Order") granting Midwest Gen's and ComEd's Motion.

II. ARGUMENT

A. Legal Standard for Motions for Reconsideration and Clarification.

In setting forth the standard for ruling on a motion for reconsideration, the Board has stated:

The Board will consider factors including new evidence or a change in the law, to conclude that the Board's decision was in error. 35 Ill. Adm. Code 101.902; *Jersey County Sanitation v. IEPA*, PCB 00-82 (Sept. 20, 2001). In *Citizens Against Regional Landfill v. County Board of Whiteside*, PCB 93-156 (Mar. 11, 1993), we observed that "the intended purpose of a motion for reconsideration is to bring to the court's attention newly discovered evidence which was not available at the time of hearing, changes in the law or errors in the court's previous application of the existing law." *Korogluyan v. Chicago Title & Trust Co.*, 213 Ill. App. 3d 622, 627, 572 N.E.2d 1154, 1158 (1st Dist. 1992).

People of the State of Illinois v. Doren Poland, PCB 98-148, 2002 WL 126132, slip op. at 2 (Jan., 24, 2002). Counsel for the IEPA is unaware of any Board Procedural Regulations or Board cases that set forth any pleading requirements or standards specifically for motions for clarification.

B. The Board Found that the IEPA's Trade Secret Determinations were Moot and It also "Vacated" the Determinations.

In its Order, the Board granted Midwest Gen's and ComEd's Motion. (Order at p. 11.)

The Order first addressed the issue of whether the IEPA's April 23, 2004 trade secret determination issued to Midwest Gen and the IEPA's April 23, 2004 trade secret determination issued to ComEd (collectively the "Trade Secret Determinations") were moot. The Board found that since Sierra Club had withdrawn its Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") request, this

litigation had become moot. (Order at pp. 8-9.) Furthermore, the Board found that these cases did not meet the Public Interest Exception to the Mootness doctrine, since the Board did not find that this matter was likely to recur based on the parties' papers. (Order at p. 9.)

Having found the IEPA's Trade Secret Determination to be moot, the Board next considered the issue of whether it was proper to also vacate it. (Order at pp. 9-10.) The Board found that since the matter was moot, if it did not vacate the IEPA's unreviewed determination, such determination would become unreviewable or "final," risking entry of the claimed documents into the public domain. (Order at p. 10.) However, the effect of the Board's vacatur of IEPA's Trade Secret Determinations leaves the IEPA with issues that remain unclear.

C. The IEPA Seeks Clarification as to its Request to ComEd to Justify its Trade Secret Claims and ComEd's and Midwest Gen's Subsequent Trade Secret Justifications.

In its Order, the Board found that it "need not resolve the parties' argument over precisely when IEPA becomes authorized to make a trade secret determination under the Board's rules," instead the Board found that Midwest Gen's and ComEd's Petitions were moot, since Sierra Club withdrew its FOIA request. (*Emphasis added in original*.) (Order at p. 8.) This leaves open the question of the documents preceding the IEPA's Trade Secret Determinations. Although the Board has vacated the IEPA's Trade Secret Determinations, arguably Midwest Gen's and ComEd's request for trade secret protection of the documents at issue remains outstanding. In its Order, the Board specifically vacated *only* the following two documents: IEPA's April 23, 2004 trade secret determination issued to Midwest Gen and the IEPA's April 23, 2004 trade secret determination issued to ComEd. (*Emphasis added*.) (Order at p. 11.) However, there is no explicit language in the Order regarding the status of the IEPA's February 26, 2004 request to ComEd for a statement justifying its trade secret claims ("Justification

Request") or for Midwest Gen's March 11, 2004 independent statement of justification to the IEPA or ComEd's March 11, 2004 statement of justification to the IEPA (collectively "Trade Secret Justifications").

Section 130.206(a) of the Board Trade Secret Regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code 130.206(a), requires the IEPA to determine whether an "article represents a trade secret within 45 days after the date it receives a complete statement of justification as prescribed in Section 130.203 of this Subpart." The clear language of Section 130.206(a) does not condition its applicability upon the specific manner by which the IEPA receives a complete statement of trade secret justification (e.g., pursuant to a request from the IEPA or voluntarily submitted from the entity claiming the trade secret without a request from the IEPA).

Although the Board unequivocally vacated the IEPA's Trade Secret Determinations, the Order's silence as to the IEPA's Justification Request and Midwest Gen's and ComEd's subsequent Trade Secret Justifications, still leaves unresolved the issue of whether those documents are still pending and subject to final trade secret determination by the IEPA. Thus, although the IEPA's Trade Secret Determinations have been vacated, absent the Board's explicit vacatur, the 45 day period for review under Section 130.206(a) has potentially begun to run, since the IEPA is still in possession of Midwest Gen's and ComEd's complete Trade Secret Justifications (*i.e.*, Midwest Gen's and ComEd's unreviewed Trade Secret Justifications would re-start the IEPA's clock for making "final" trade secret determinations).

Accordingly, the IEPA seeks clarification from the Board as to whether the vacatur applies to its February 26, 2004 request to ComEd for a statement justifying its trade secret claims and/or to Midwest Gen's and ComEd's March 11, 2004 statements of justification to the IEPA. In addition, the IEPA requests that if the Board determines that it intended to explicitly

include the IEPA's February 26, 2004 request to ComEd for a statement justifying its trade secret claims and/or to Midwest Gen's and ComEd's March 11, 2004 statements of justification to the IEPA, that it enter an order modifying its April 7, 2011 Order to reflect such clarification.

D. Absent the Board Vacating the IEPA's Underlying Request to ComEd for Justification and Midwest Gen's and ComEd's Subsequent Trade Secret Justifications, IEPA Could Run Afoul of the *Reichhold Chemicals* Holding.

In the Order, the Board stated that the IEPA would not run afoul of the appellate court holding in *Reichhold Chemicals, Inc. v. Illinois Pollution Control Bd.*, 204 Ill.App.3d 674, 677 (3rd Dist. 1990) (administrative agency may allow a rehearing, or modify and alter its decisions only when authorized to do so by statute). (Order at p. 10.) Specifically, the Board stated that:

Here, IEPA would in no instance be reapplying the trade secret provisions of the Act and the Board's regulations to arrive at a new determination. If the Board were to grant Midwest's motion, it would be the Board, not IEPA, vacating IEPA's trade secret determination. The Board finds that so vacating IEPA's determination would not constitute improper reconsideration by IEPA of the trade secret denial.

(Order at p. 10.) However if the Order does not apply to the IEPA's Justification Request and/or to the Trade Secret Justifications, the IEPA would be saddled with its previous basis for requesting a justification from ComEd (*i.e.*, a FOIA request from Sierra Club, which of course is now moot). Therefore, if another person requested the information from the IEPA via FOIA or if the IEPA sought a justification from Midwest Gen and/or ComEd based on Section 130.201(b)(6)¹ of the Board Trade Secret Regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code 130.201(b)(6), the IEPA could potentially be foreclosed from "reconsidering" its rationale for requesting a justification from Midwest Gen and/or ComEd based on the appellate court decision in

¹ Reasons for a request for justification may include that "determining the validity of the claim will facilitate the timely performance of State agency responsibilities."

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office, May 13, 2011

Reichhold Chemicals, which forbids the IEPA from reconsidering its prior decisions. 204 Ill.App.3d at 677.

Consequently, the IEPA requests that the Board clarify whether its vacatur in the Order applies to the IEPA's February 26, 2004 request to ComEd for a statement justifying its trade secret claims and/or to Midwest Gen's and ComEd's March 11, 2004 statements of justification to the IEPA. In addition, the IEPA requests that if the Board determines that it intended to explicitly include the IEPA's February 26, 2004 request to ComEd for a statement justifying its trade secret claims and/or to Midwest Gen's and ComEd's March 11, 2004 statements of justification to the IEPA, that it enter an order modifying its April 7, 2011 Order to reflect such clarification.

III. **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the IEPA respectfully requests that the Board grant its Motion to Clarify, Reconsider, and/or Modify the Board's April 7, 2011 Order.

Respectfully submitted,

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Assistant Attorney General

Environmental Bureau

69 West Washington St., Suite 1800

Chicago, Illinois 60602

(312) 814-2087

ssylvester@atg.state.il.us